

**KARNATAKA CIVIL COURTS (SECOND AMENDMENT)
BILL, 1978.**

Motion to Consider

SRI L. G. HAVANUR (Minister for Law, Social Welfare and Backward Classes).—I beg to move :

“ That the Karnataka Civil Courts (Second Amendment) Bill, 1978 be taken into consideration.”

The Question was proposed

Sir, by the present amendment, the Karnataka Civil Courts Act has been amended in such a way that the Civil Judge could be delegated with the powers of District Judges under the Indian Succession Act. The proposed amendment seeks to empower the High Court to invest similar jurisdiction in the Munsiffs courts so that the applications relating to the grant of probate and letters of administration, succession certificate and the like would be entertained by the Munsiffs and hardship that is being caused to the rural people may to some extent be alleviated. It is with this object in view that the present amendment has been introduced.

SRI A. LAKSHMISAGAR.—This is also a welcome piece of legislation. Considerable difficulty was experienced by the people coming from the rural areas all the way to get succession certificate or probate and letters of administration to district headquarters and they had to wait for months and years. One safeguard I find in the amending bill is that the High Court would be empowered with this jurisdiction. Therefore there is additional safeguard provided and hence it may also be passed.

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the Karnataka Civil Courts (Second Amendment) Bill, 1978 be taken into consideration.”

The motion was adopted

CLAUSES 2 TO 4

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“ That clauses 2 to 4 both inclusive do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted

Clauses 2 to 4 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1 ETC.

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That clause 1, the Long Title, the Preamble and the Enacting formula do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted

Clause 1, long Title, the Preamble and the Enacting formula were added to the Bill.

Motion to Pass

SRI L. G. HAVANUR.—Sir, I move :

“That the Karnataka Civil Courts (Second Amendment) Bill, 1978 be passed.”

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the Karnataka Civil Courts (Second Amendment) Bill, 1978 be passed.”

The motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

Discussion on a matter of Urgent Public Importance under rule 59

re: *Report of the one Man Commission on the affairs of Mysore University (Debate Continued)*

SRI C.M. ARUMUGAM.—Sir, the scope of discussion under Rule 59 is limited to only Members who have given notice of That is the provision. If you restrict only $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours, then it should be restricted only those who have singed and informed you in the notice. They should be given the chance to participate in the debate. Therefore, I am only submitting to the Chair that instead of $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours, it could be given a day. Because, this is an important matter, and a report given by a retired judge of the High Court. So, it is better to give more time.

MR. SPEAKER.—We will see what best could be done.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಬಾಕ್ಕರ್ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಇವತ್ತು ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಒಂದಿಂದು ಕೂಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಇದು ಇವತ್ತೇ ಪ್ರಾಗಿದು ಬಿಡಲಿ.

ಎದ್ದರೂಗಳು.—ಅದು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಸಾಗರ್.—ಇದು ಎಲ್ಲರ ದಂಸಸ್ತನ್ನು ಕಲಿಕದೆ, ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೂ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗ ವಹಿಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಪರಿಸ್ಪರ ಅಸಮಾನತಾನಾಯಿಯಾದು ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಇದು ಇವತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಗಿಯಾದಿದ್ದರೆ ಕೇಳಬಾದರೆ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ದಿವಸ ಪ್ರತಿ ಕೂತಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಅದ್ದರಿಂದ ಏನೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವಂದು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಪ್ಪಣ ಬೇಡ ಹೇಳಬಂತಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.